ODP-82-045 15 JAN 1982

MEMORALIDUM FORE	Deputy Director for Administration
PROM:	Director of Data Processing
SUBJECT:	Computer Terminal Installation Problems
REPERENCE 1	Memorandum for DDA from DDO. dated 11 January

1. We regret that the Deputy Director for Operations (DDO) in the referenced memorandum did not cite the historical record which the Office of Data Processing (ODP) has for meeting the needs of the Directorate of Operations (DO) for terminals. Within our resources, we have always attempted to meet critical Agency needs for computer terminals and printers.

FY 82 TERMINALS

- 2. Each program year, ODP requests each Directorate to identify terminal needs and to cite the budget level at which the supporting component's program resides. ODP then programs accordingly for terminals in the ODP budget. In 81 and 82 budget years, ODP has not received the packages which supported component current and enhanced level terminal requirements. DO requirements in FY 81 were at the enhanced level and in FY 82 were at the current level; ODP did not receive funds in support of no terminal requirements in either year. We have not ignored DO's requirements, however. In FY 80, we funded 10 additional terminals over a DO allocation of and in FY 51, although the DO had no budgeted, we provided them with terminals, some of which are still awaiting installation. We did this by drawing down existing stocks of proviously funded Delta Data 7260's.
- 3. In FY 82, ODP received funds for only terminals, all of which were justified at levels below the current level by the other three Directorates. Those Directorates, and the DO as well, were made aware of that commitment in late 1979 as the FY 82 budget was being developed and reviewed. Thus, the commitment for the distribution of the FY 82 terminals was made some time ago as a function of the CIA budget process.
- 4. The resource situation in PY 82, unlike FY 81, will not permit ODP to give the DO much relief. We have no remaining funds to use for terminal requests which are in excess of the FY

_

STAT

STAT

STAT

STAT

STAT

STAT

82 allocation. We did fund three terminals for the DO thus far in FY 82, but this is the first year in which we are forced to turn back critical terminal requests, from any and all components, because of budget inadequacies.

5. We sympathize with the DO, but we feel a reallocation of terminals would cause extensive difficulties with the other Directorates involved, especially since at this point in the fiscal year all but terminals have already been committed to specific installations.

INSTALLATIONS

STAT

STAT

STAT

STAT

STAT

of which	are more than	nndum cites a backl one year old. How I in November and I	ever, of	quests, some those Those
requests relocation printers installa	are for one of existing are not in our tion request up	terminals and gevices. Again, funding. We do not the state of the stat	printers, the new terminot process and identify and	, plus five inals and in commit a

7. Of breakdown is	outstanding as follows:	requests	in	process	for	the	DO,	the

8. A more complete report on these installation requests would have included the fact that we have recently arranged for the rental of 24 IBM terminals in an attempt to meet the DO needs until such time as the Delta Data terminal is capable of emulating an IBM terminal. This is a special requirement related to the DO's ALLSTAR system, and the older requests in the table above are all related to this problem. With the cooperation of the Office of Communications, we recently processed priority installation requests in order for these devices to be available this week.

9. In sum, we feel we have made a reasonable effort, and even taken some extraordinary measures, to meet both DO needs for terminals and their installations priorities. A proposed reply to the DDO memorandum is attached.

STAT				
	Attachment: As Stated			
STAT	D/ODP,	kf	(15 January 82)	
	Distribution: Original & 1 - Ac			

2 - O/D/ODP

2 - ODP Registry